

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,949	06/20/2003	William C. Failla	7497-1	9481
7:	590 06/03/2004		EXAMINER	
Steven M. Greenberg			CHIU, RALEIGH W	
CHRISTOPHER & WEISBERG, P.A. 200 East Las Olas BlydSuite 2040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Lauderdale			3711	
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,		M				
i	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/600,949	FAILLA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Raleigh Chiu	3711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	s				
Period for Reply	N V IO OET TO EVDIDE AL	AONTHIC) FROM					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a less than thirty (	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this commur  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	<u> April 2004</u> .						
,—	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			rits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applicati	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-14 is/are withdo	4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-14 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
	_ '/ '						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 20 June 2003 is/are:	D)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 June 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-1	52.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Burn  * See the attached detailed Office action for a least	ents have been received. ents have been received in <i>i</i> riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stag	ge				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/20/03.</li> </ol>		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 	)				

Art Unit: 3711

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. The term "housel" should be changed to --hosel--.

## Election/Restrictions

- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-10 in the response received 21 April 2004 is acknowledged.
- 3. Claims 11-14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

  Election was made without traverse in the response received 21 April 2004.

## Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to because Figure 6 does not show a perspective view of the shuffle putter as described in paragraphs [0041]-[0043] of the specification; Figure 6 is identical to Figure 8; Figure 7 does not show an exploded view as recited in paragraph [0044]. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3711

5. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the hosel (Figures 2-4) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

6. The use of the trademark TEFLON has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

7. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: it is not clear what part of a circular runner can be considered the vertex (paragraph 0044].

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3711

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

9. Claims 3, 4 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 3, it is not clear how a hosel can be coupled at either the heel or toe when the shaft must extend perpendicularly from the central portion of the club head between the toe and heel as required by claim 1.

Claim 4 is rejected because it depends from a rejected claim 3.

Regarding claim 8, it is not clear what is meant by a runner vertex.

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected because it depends from a rejected claim 8.

Art Unit: 3711

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC §§ 102 and 103

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Number 3,394,937 (Allport).

Regarding claims 1 and 5, Figures 1-7 of Allport show a cylindrical golf putter head with a perpendicularly extending shaft.

13. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Allport as applied above in view of U.S. Patent Number 4,529,202 (Jacobson).

Art Unit: 3711

Regarding claims 2-4, Jacobson shows in Figures 1-4 that it is old and well-known in the golfing art to attach shafts to club heads with a hosel.

14. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Allport as applied above in view of U.S. Patent Number 5,433,441 (Olsen et al., hereinafter Olsen).

Regarding claims 6 and 7, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide runners at each end of the Allport club head in view of Olsen in order to enhance the putter's efficiency by reducing club drag. Also, see Olsen at column 2, lines 54-64 and column 5, lines 14-31.

## Double Patenting

15. Applicant is advised that should claim 6 be found allowable, claim 7 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim.

See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Application/Control Number: 10/600,949 Page 7

Art Unit: 3711

## Allowable Subject Matter

16. Claims 8-10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- 17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raleigh Chiu whose telephone number is (703) 308-2247. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich, can be reached on (703) 308-1513.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,949 Page 8

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raleigh W. Chiu Primary Examiner

Technology Center 3700

RWC:dei:feif 27 May 2004